P.F. 20 of 96

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1895-96
~~192~~ =
(L. R.)

File No. 114 of 95

Serial Nos. 1 - 17

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1

Srv. ~~Part~~ B for October 1898

SUBJECT.

Appeal ~~Proceedings~~ of Shanmukhappa Re. Patel Gulihattamballi Hanumanthappa, regarding the remission of the arrears of wet assessment on his lands

---

Countermanded note

Petition for Temporary Injunction and
for Appointment of Receiver

Petitioner

Respondent
Hotel of Millwaukie
Kansas Toledo

Subject

Official Manual of the Supreme
Court of Kansas Volume No. 1
29-7-78 and the Supreme
Court of the United States
Vol. 5 Pages 5 of Millwaukie-Kansas
Toledo.

Comes the Petitioner in the above
Hotel Respondent Millwaukie
Petition shows that the
Petitioner now owns and is holding the
Lease for 5 years as aforesaid. The
Hotel of Millwaukie which is upon
Property the Respondent
Owns and is situated
in the City of Millwaukie and the
County, State of Kansas
aforesaid, that the Petitioner
Petitioning the Respondent
for the Petitioner of Millwaukie

Authorities had inspected the tank at the time when it breached as directed by the Dy. Comr. the petitioner would not have been put to loss by the removal of his Waddige and Doni - that he has been subjected to this hardship simply because the Authorities relying on the incorrect statement of the Chairbesse removed the wet apeppment - that the Dy. Comr. in directing the levy of the arrears of wet apeppment observed that the Talak Authorities had wrongly removed the wet rate and imposed a dry rate on his lands - that those who made the mistake should pay and not he - that he has not raised wet crops since the last four years that the Doni should be put upon water supplied otherwise and that till then he should not be made to pay the wet apeppment.

The records submitted by the Dy. Comr. discloses the following facts :-

No. 5090/26-10-95

On the 20th March 90 the Executive Engineer Sirsi addressed a letter to the Dy. Comr. stating that Chowdenahalli tank breached from the heavy rains that fell in October 1889 - that the

Bangalore Mangalore road which was running on its bund had been very much damaged - that one of the causes of the breach was the Excistence of two anes across the Kodinala built by Patel Ranjappaji and Bore gowda of Chillenahalli - that the breach would occur if the said anes continued to exist - and requesting that early steps might be taken for the removal of the anes by levying dry rate on the lands for the water supply of which the anes in question had been constructed.

The Dy. Comr. directed the Amildar to proceed to the spot immediately and to enquire and report whether the anes had been constructed with the permission of Govt. No. 927 of 28-3-90

In reply the Amildar submitted the statement of the Shanbhoge of Bommenahalli which is to the following effect -

The anes built by Ranjappaji and Boregowda of Chillenahalli across the Kodinala of Chowdenahalli tank were not built with the permission of Govt. They were built by them 15 or 20 years ago - Survey Nos. 52 & 53 measuring in all 10 a 35 g and bearing a total assessment of Rs. 32-8 belonging to Ranjappaji and Survey No. 51 measuring 1 a - 10 g and bearing an assessment of Rs. 5/- belonging No. 40 of 3-4-90. 3-4-90

Dec. 94 - 30-4-95

8 — 5 — 95

31/05 - 9/6 - 5 - 95

by the dry.sors or by foot - that in the latter case it would cost Rs. 25/- and that five days time had been allowed for the removal of the remaining portion -

5250/6-8-90 The Dy. Comr. directed that if the trees were not completely removed it should be done by foot. and the cost thereof recovered from the parties concerned by the Sale of their moveable property.

9-8-90 Accordingly a notice was issued to the parties by the Amildar -

5266/12-8-90 In the meanwhile a complaint having been received from the Executive Engineer stating that the trees had not been completely removed the Dy. Comr. directed that either the Amildar or Sheristedar should proceed to the Spot at once and get the trees removed -

As directed the Sheristedar proceeded to the Spot and the petitioner and Boreswa Executed a mucharlika in the presence of the Sheristedar and the Sub Division officer to the effect that they would completely remove the trees as pointed out by the above officers by 12 noon on the following day and that if they failed to do so they might be removed by foot. at their cost.

The Amildar submitted this to the Dy. Coun. and reported the completion of the work

No 870/20-8-90.

On the 27th April 93 the Amildar brought to the notice of the Dy. Coun. that the red-adjustment of the lands Survey nos. 52 and 53 and 51 belonging to the Lotimer Ramjappaji and Dorepoda respectively of Chikmahally were covered into dry in the year Vibhuti (1890) owing to the removal of the dams which had been built by them across the Chondenahalli tank Kodinda to Convey water to them - that the village accounts had accordingly been corrected - but that the Survey Settlement accounts viz. Akkarband and Layani Paisal Patrika had not been corrected - and that consequently the Jamabandi of the said accounts did not tally and requested that the latter accounts might be forwarded to the Survey Superintendent with a view to their being corrected.

No. 4470/27-4-93

X

On the 5th July 93 the Dy. Coun. submitted the above accounts for necessary alterations to the Survey Superintendent who in reply points out that the removal of the dams should not affect the rate of assessment fixed on Survey nos. 52 & 53 inasmuch as the source of irrigation of the water of tank Survey no. 65 of Chikmahalli is a bore hole

No. 5600/5/11-7-93

No. 3300 of 30-8-93

will crop by building an ave-
I have been raising wet crops by means of a wooden dam. There is no danger at all by this. Bora is raising wet crops by means of an ave. There is danger by this but not from my dam.

Borasoora submitted a petition to the Taluk stating that the dam was built by his ancestors 60 or 70 years ago - that it was not at all dangerous to the bank - that the bank breaks owing to the breaking of the banks higher up - and praying that the order to remove the dam might be cancelled - 28-5-90

The Tahsildar was directed to inform Borasoora that his request could not be complied with - that he should remove the dam and that if he failed to do so it would be removed by force.

The Tahsildar in reply to Dy. Coll's petition No. 9 of 30-6-90, reports that he caused the dam to be inspected by the Sheristadar - that as the ryots have removed portions of the dam the water runs smoothly without any obstruction - that the remaining portion could be removed easily No. 79 of 31-7-90

9

crops the tank was that a com-
-pact dam which prevailed in the
neighbourhood had been fixed with
special reference to this fact.

Rc. 5417/7-7-93

On the thirty year: periods on the
the cultivators that if the fact had previous-
-ly been brought to notice there would
not have been any reason for such
correspondence and decided that wet
assessment should be removed from
petitioners' fields with arrears of last
years.

22-12-93

The Collector summoned the parties.
Petitioner [illegible] stated that the
dam through which he used to irrigate his
lands was washed away in 1892
(current) - that he was told to raise dry
crops in his lands and paying [illegible] -
that since then he has not been
raising wet crops - that he could not
keep the dam - and that he would be
willing to keep the dam, raise wet
crops in his lands and [illegible] assess-
-ment from Jaya -

[illegible] stated that he was using
the water of [illegible]
to his land Survey No. 5 by putting a
[illegible] - and that he was not
willing to raise wet crops in his land
by [illegible]

Vro. 951/37 of 3-1-34

De Gemeente Rotterdam tegen de Ned. Herv. Kerkvereeniging dat de Gemeente dient te betalen de kosten van het onderhoud van de Kerk te Rotterdam.

Vro. 952 of 18-2-34

De Ned. Herv. Kerkvereeniging tegen de Gemeente Rotterdam dat de Gemeente dient te betalen de kosten van het onderhoud van de Kerk te Rotterdam.

Vro. 3778 of 18-10-34

De Gemeente Rotterdam tegen de Ned. Herv. Kerkvereeniging dat de Gemeente dient te betalen de kosten van het onderhoud van de Kerk te Rotterdam.

+
Uitv. 56-12-2
Dep. 12-4-2
Opgisme 24-8-2

De Ned. Herv. Kerkvereeniging tegen de Gemeente Rotterdam dat de Gemeente dient te betalen de kosten van het onderhoud van de Kerk te Rotterdam.

Vro. 4078 of 20-11-34.

De Ned. Herv. Kerkvereeniging tegen de Gemeente Rotterdam dat de Gemeente dient te betalen de kosten van het onderhoud van de Kerk te Rotterdam.

Vro. 5770.

De Gemeente Rotterdam tegen de Ned. Herv. Kerkvereeniging dat de Gemeente dient te betalen de kosten van het onderhoud van de Kerk te Rotterdam.

No. 120
Cal. 13-8-98.

The 27th January 1899 the Petitioner
not having appeared to prosecute his
Petition and the Respondent having
appeared by his Proctor

The petition was dismissed with
Costs and the 27th January was
appointed for hearing the petition
on the Respondent's Affidavits

Vide Bk. No. 181-
305 25-8-98

WK
27/11.

Subpd for orders, with the 4th
Counsel's Report 12 Aug 98.

WK 27/11

From the beginning
the Petitioner has
persistently alleged that
his was only a Void
and not an Avoid-
-ed Marriage. If this allegation is
true & if the Void
was removed under
the order of the 27th
June, it does not
seem that the
Petitioner should be com-
-pelled to marry the
Respondent now demanded
from him. He is willing

and ready to perform
any Matrimonial Duties
which may be
imposed upon him
by the Court.

2/12/98

What would Petitioner
have? Cert of removal
and Cert of Marriage
to be produced.

2/12/98

Mr Thomas Jones for
Petitioner called on this day
in pursuance of Order of
2-12-98

12

To be conducted the Rain & May not appear necessary. Whether such reconstruction is likely to be allowed by the D.N.W. is not clear from the Record. It seems necessary to ascertain what it was that was removed at the instance of the Ry: Engineer, a Dam or an Aid or a Bridge, and whether the D.N.W. have notice to say against its reconstruction. It is possible that what the Ry: Engineer calls an Aid was only a Dam the restoration of which contravenes the objections. If so, the means of irrigation afforded by the Lemany Lake may be cleared & exist- ing payment of net appropriated cannot be disposed. Asked to 2nd Question

30-11-95

As far as I can see there are no arbitrary features in the disposal of this matter. If the Dam and headworks in question for a long series of years it was not right that they should be summarily removed. Mr Herrick Mr Green should be heard - Queen after proper inquiry the Sept. should be called upon to report whether the Objection should be entertained under existing circumstances & whether he has still any objection to the restoration. If he withdraws his objection the whole matter is settled. If he maintains his objection concerned should be referred from Dept. to the Secretary of State for India in Council for decision. Before the Secretary of State for India in Council does

2nd 30/11.

not seem to be necessary
by anticipation. It should, however,
also be asked for as above
(Sd) J. R. H. 2/12/95
What was the Value of the cost of
removing thereon & Probable
Cost of restoration.

2/12/95 (Initialed) ——

Mr Emmanuel Jones

Please draft an order
Calling for all the information
required

(Sd) C. S.
3.12.95

Circular No. 5291-3 / P. 1104 of 9-12-25

Notice issued calling for information on the following points suggested by the Revenue Councillor:—

(1) "Which was the date on which first put through chemically cleaned by plaintiff's method to the satisfaction of the Chief Engineer."

(2) "If the machine was destroyed subsequently when was it destroyed."

(3) "If the machine was destroyed was it destroyed in execution of process of any Civil Court or by order of any Revenue Officer or by the Collector of Customs, if the machine was destroyed was it done to safeguard it."

(4) "What was the market price of the machine at the time of destruction and the present cost of erecting such machine at the Port where."

No. 3825 of 24 July 26

The Chief Engineer is requested to forward copy of the Report Enquiry

Annexure "A"

(Serial No. 15)

The Dy. Comr. under his No. 833 of 1-12-96 states in reply to query No. 3 above that the Executive Engineer says that the "Doni" in question may be allowed to be put up provided that the main channel of the tank is in no way obstructed even with any support for the "Doni"

Subd. for orders

10/12/96

Subd. to the 2nd Councillor-

The executive Engineer has no objection to the construction of a 'Doni' but objects to the construction of a 'Bund' (embankment) owing to prospective damages to the tank & the high road. He recommends conversion of wet into dry. The letters of the Chief Engineer, the Ex. Engineer & the Dy Comr are submitted with the file

12/12/96

Recd. Sir.

14/12/96

The Doni is a drain cut at right angles to the tank bund. The latter affects the land of correspondent's land No. 57 & was originally intended to be removed by means of this drain. The Revenue Authorities objected to the construction of the drain as it would cut the wet classification & must be changed into dry.

The Doni referred to is 2253 feet long & is intended to be constructed from Chatnahalli tank across the Hadi Halla. The construction of a Doni is permissible if the width of the stream is not narrowed and the capacity of the basin is not reduced. Subject to these conditions the Deputy Commissioner may be permitted to reconstruct this Doni. He is further entitled to some compensation on that account. The Dy Comr will report what is a fair amount for that purpose. In the meanwhile advise the Revenue Authorities to withhold the difference between the wet & dry

the party hereto for recovering
his costs, as aforesaid."

(3) "And it is further ordered
that pending the appeal of
the petitioner, the Executive
Engineer of the Lagos Executive State
shall not demolish, pull down, remove
or in any manner interfere with
any of the buildings or premises
erected by the petitioner on the
land in dispute in this suit
until the hearing and final deter-
-mination of the appeal herein
by the Court of Appeal and
that the Executive Engineer
of Lagos shall not in the
meantime grant any lease
or tenancy of the said land
to any person or persons
other than the petitioner
pending the hearing of the
said appeal herein and
that the Executive Engineer
of Lagos is hereby restrained
from in any manner
interfering with the
petitioner's buildings
pending the hearing
and final determination
of the appeal herein"

Amended

(4) "And it is further ordered
that the costs of this
application be in the
cause and abide the
event of the appeal herein
and that the costs of
the appeal herein
be assessed"

15

Express deed-No 2456/276 of 16th July 98

Stating:-

"Point 2. It is not known when the river had been obstructed."

"Point 3. The river had obstructed the drainage of water in the said channel consequently heavy floods of October 1889 had risen and the said river than it would have otherwise, and this led to the water overflowing and inundating the town, Mall, Superior Municipality, and Cumberpee - That the retention of the similar damage to the said and more specially to the high tide, and from similar floods, the same had to be removed."

"Point 4. That if the said river had not been obstructed the said damage would not have been done."

The Appellant States:-

(1) "The river was in its natural course in the year 1872-73 (diagram) with a bend due to the ridge to the west of it."

(2) "That the river and the channel referred to in para 1 above were obstructed by

The Respondent States:-

X

Dec pursuance of the 2nd
Councillor's order dated 29/9
the Dy Conr was directed
(on 5.2-97) to report what
would be the amount of
Compensation to which
the petitioner is entitled
for his reconstructing the
dwi and to remit the
difference between the
wet and dry assess-
ment on S. Nos 52 and 53
until it was restored.
On 27.2.97 the Dy Conr direct-
ed the amildar to sub-
mit his report who for
the information from the
shekdar. The shekdar re-
ported that according to
the ryots' statement it
would be amount to Rs 15
that on inspection of the spot he found
no trace of the dwi - that
the patel and the villagers

reported that on inspection
of the land he found the Kodi
to run off from south to north
that the width of the kodi
is at present 21 yards.
That formerly two earthen
bunds were erected on
both sides and a wooden
dam put upon them to
lead the water. That to
allow the water to run in
the same way, it is necess
that the width of the Kod
should be lessened within
which it is impossible to
put the dam. He submitted
the petitioner's statement
dated 6.9.97 to that effect.
The amildar reported
the matter to the Dy. Conr
stating that he inspect
ed the spot in the presence
of the patels and the villag
that a Dinne (earthen bund)
was built erected on both sides

says that he is unable to
advance the money for the
construction of the dam, and
the Govt. so desire, it may be
done at their own cost. That he can-
not grow wet crops in Hevilumbi
unless a dam is put upon an
oya to supply his lands with water

and a dam made
but upon it to irrigate
the lands therefrom. That as
this construction was con-
sidered dangerous to the
Chowdanahalli Tank, it was
removed and the Kodi is
at present 68 ft wide.
That in order to irrigate the
lands Nos 52 and 53 as before
a dimmi (a small bund) should
be erected with mud which
may allow sufficient space
for a drain 10 or 15 ft. That
therefore the Kodi should be
increased to a great extent
but the Govt. order ~~always~~ pro-
hibits it. That the petitioner
says that the view of Govt
cannot be adopted which is
supported by the position of the
spot (Sketch No 5) that
therefore any assessment
may be fixed and the
akarband corrected ac-
cordingly.

said that it was all destroyed - that close to the Kudi turfing (ಹುಲ್ಲು ಹಾಸು) was put and that it would cost Rs 100/- for similar work to be so ~~that~~ as this kind of work gave rise to much dispute, it would be better if the P.W. Dept inspect and prepare the Estimate for the instruction of the devi after leaving a certain space for the Kodi. He submitted the statement of the Patel. Thereupon the Amildar directed the Shekdar to ascertain from the Patel whether it would be possible to ~~undergo~~ carry on wet cultivation by means of a devi without narrowing the Kodi as this is the view of Govt conveyed in the above Govt order and to measure the Kodi where the devi is to be put.

On 7-11-97 the Shekdar reported

Land fixed at Rs 2000

The Dr (Surv.) asked the amildar to send a rakasi of the lands with a true re-clear sketch as it was not from it whether it is Kodihalla or Kodi (Govt order says Kodihalla, his report only Kodi) The amildar submitted a rakasi (in the end of the file) and repeats as aforesaid.

Ill 27/9/38

No 36 is a sketch of the Sys. 36. + the Kodihalla lands,

The source of irrigation for Sys 52 + 53 (the lands of patel Nagappa/r/appa) is Sara to Kambhattinkalli tank, the water of which is led by a Doni to the Lands This Doni is Sara to require a sluice port - a dam - which is located in the Kodihalla of Bhowdenkalli tank It is rather difficult to

V3

understand what is done. A
dam supposed to impound
the water of Chettichalli
tank down below Hindu
to across of the Kodihalla
of Chowdenhalli tank
unless indeed the former
"suppression" is a portion
& the "doni" is fed by
water from the Kodihalla
& Chowdenhalli tank

~~The note~~ The Survey Supt
has once before refused to
recognise the necessity for
a reclassification

Sd 22/9/98

Mr Supt was under
a misconception when
he passed reclassification
what was then called
Doni has now become
a work interfering with
Kodihalli - This
supposed is an aqueduct
for water from (between)
Chowdenhalli tanks and

the Kodihalla &
Chowdenhalli tanks
The Kodi Halla suffers
in capacity by the
erection of supports
which is objected to by
the Dom Hindu
ries the water from
mud &c. Mill-
mud & dry rate-entitled.
Order accd.

Markets are only
entitled to compensation
for the value of
classification land
as they do not
own the water rights
the question need
not be raised

Recd
24-9-98

Sd [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Pet 365

P

26

(2)

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 700 dated 12th August 1895 received at the Dewan's Office from Rangappaya Patel of Chittenhalli in the Hunsur Taluk praying that the arrears of wet assessment said to be due on his wet lands No 5253 in Chittenhalli may not be recovered as he has not raised wet crops thereon owing to the removal of an "ane" which used to irrigate them and that the sale of his lands may be stayed pending final orders in the case is forwarded, together with its 12 enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of Mysore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

L.P. 11-4-95-2

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

3 The Dy Comm is requested to hold in abeyance the collection of the difference between wet & dry Kandayam on the above lands.

By order,

(Draft)
2d Comm

BANGALORE, 15-8-1895

for Chief Secretary.

15/8

20.

18th

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

L. R. 112—95—3

ನಂಬರು.

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಸೀನಾ ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ಅಚ್ಚುಗಾದಾರ್ ಶ್ರೀ ಕಾಮಾಕ್ಷಿಪಾಳ್ಳೂಕು ಶ್ರೀ - ಪ್ಲೀ ನಂಜಪ್ಪಶೆಟ್ಟಿ

ಇವರಿಗೆ ನಂಜಪ್ಪಶೆಟ್ಟಿ ಗೆ ಇನಾಮಾರನ ಹುಕುಂ

ನೀನು ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆ ಗೊಜದಾಯಿಸಿದ 12 - 8 - 1895 ನೇ ಮೊರ್ಜಿಯಿಂದ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಯನ್ನು ಆಕ್ಷಲಂಪಟ್ಟ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರ್ ಬಳಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದೆ.

ಪ್ರಕಾರ /5 ನೇ ಪಾಣಿ ಶ್ರೀ ನಂಜಪ್ಪ ಸನ್ 189 5 — ನೇ ಇನಾಮು ದರಕಾಗಿ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

1878

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Government Central Press, Bangalore.—9-11-92—3,000.

ಶ್ರೀ ನಂಜಪ್ಪಶೆಟ್ಟಿ
19-8-95

Gen. Serial No. 32.]

1895

No. 848

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arzi. 17-5-95

Date of Receipt. 21-5-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

L.R. 114-95-4.

ABSTRACT.

29 Collr 587 Dy 57 9/95 Mondragh 5-9-95

(3)

Memorandum

The accompanying petition No 843 dated 1st August 1895 received at the Dewan's Office from Patel Nanjappaji of Kittinhalli, Hunsur Taluk, praying for early orders for the stay of the sale of his lands fixed for the 26th August 1895, is forwarded to the Assistant Superintendent Hunsur Div, for information of the Memorandum No 305 dated 15th August 1895 with a request that if the sale was held before the receipt of these orders the confirmation of it may be held in abeyance

By order

1-9-95

Rev. Secretary

L.R. 114-95-5

04
9/9

20

1895

No. 1061

Name of Petitioner, Municipality - Taluk of

Village, Chittaradelli

Taluk, Hunsur

District, Mysore

Date of Arzi, 1 - 9 - 95

Date of Receipt, 6 - 9 - 95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 3

843 of 9626

Memo 2537-95-96

8739-95-96

Nac
11/9

3 - 11/9

12/9
Papers sent

13/9

Papers produced herein to be brought to notice when petitioner presents the necessary Receipt of Records.

14/9

L.R. 1114-95-6

ABSTRACT.

In continuation of the appeal preferred by petitioner and Deputy of the Taluk Survey No. 55 and 53 of Chittaradelli for deposit of additional due on their re-survey to Government for Inam and receiving with the appeal petitioner dated 6-5-95 appeal from the Assistant Deputy Copy of petition No. 3/55 of 6-5-95 appeal to the Collector in the record and copy of petition of 6-5-95 addressed to the Taluk Cutcherry of Chittaradelli and petition No. 1061 of 6-5-95 appeal to the Collector herein.

9/9
BK

1061

(General Serial No. 32.)

32

1895

No. 1059

Name of Petitioner. Tata Thangaraja

Village. Chittahalli

Taluk. Hunsur

District. Hunsur

Date of Arzi. 1-9-95

Date of Receipt. 6-9-95

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

States that his lands bearing Survey Nos 5-2 2-5-3 have been unjustly sold by auction against the order, as set forth in endorsement No 700 dt 19/8/95, stating that no higher assessment than the fair rate should be collected & ~~limited~~ limited further orders and that, even after the receipt of the above order, have with the intention of discriminating them, held the auction sale ~~after~~ two days later than the appointed date.

Sd/ 9/9.

Subject to the Rules & Orders in force & to be passed in future.

The petr has not made out a case for interference in this matter. The petn is therefore rejected.

Sd/ 14/9

Petitioner may be informed accordingly.

14-9-95

Draft 16-9-95

L.R. 114-95-7

53

He requests to know as to who should be made responsible for the disgrace done to him.

K.V.R.

1059

34

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 1059/12
DATE 6-9-17

R.P. Nov 14.

36

(General Serial No. 36)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
3547
OCT 95
SECRETARIAT
Ret 312

18

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

To

The Revenue Secretary
to the Govt of Mysore

Dated 26th
Despatched 27 } October 1895
Received Camp Heggadevankote

L.R. 114-95-8

Enclosures No. 2 files

General No. 509
No.

Reports on petition of
Vanjappajee Patel of
Chittenhulli, Hunsur
Taluk

V 1/11/95

Prepared & sent
BL
27/11.

37

No. 509

Dn. Returns, Co. No. with its enclosures & ack. received.

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated ~~Mysore~~ 26th October 1895.

From

Capt. Haggard Asst.

(9)

The Deputy Commissioner,
of the Mysore District.

To Rec. Govt.
The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the ~~Govt~~ of Mysore,

SIR,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 1st/365 dated the 5th August 1895 & reminder No. 1st/678 dated 12th September 1895 forwarding for report the petition of Kangappa patel of Chittanahalli in the Nanjangud taluk praying that wet assessment may not be levied on his lands Nos 2 and 53 situated in Chittanahalli on the ground that the said villages used to enjoy water free that has been assessed by Government, I have the honor to state as follows:—

1. The petitioned lands which are under

Specifications on the margin were filed.

	Acres	Quarters	Rods	Feet	Inches	
Survey No 52	7	3?	25	"	"	Veil as wet.
- Do - 53	2	1	6	12	"	They were being
Total	9	4	31	12	"	irrigated up-

to the year 1891, by means of a dam thrown across the main river channel of the Shus-kinaduk Creek. In March 1891 the Executive Engineer reported that the dam (put up by the petitioner) was causing heavy damage to the highway road by intercepting the flow of water in the channel which feeds the road and requested that it ~~should~~ might be removed. The then Deputy Commissioner Mr Maitland-Jones finding that the dam had not been constructed with the sanction of Government ordered it, removal as suggested by the Executive Engineer, and directed

directed the amildar to submit proposals for the conversion of the wet assessment on the lands into dry. Accordingly the amildar recovered dry assessment on the lands for the year 1890-91 at the rate of a rupee per acre i, e, Rupees 10-12-0 in place of the wet assessment of Rupees 31-12-0 and submitted the correspondence thereon with the "akarbund" for rectification. The Survey Superintendent was thereupon requested by this office to revise the "akarband" but that officer in his yadi No. 3300 of the 30th August 1893 stated that the removal of the dam ought not to interfere with the wet assessment on petitioners lands, which, he stated, had altogether a separate source of irrigation by means

of

of a "doni" or "wooden pipe" fixed to the irrigation canal of the Chittenahalli tank which was taken across the waste weir Chanel of the Chowdenahalli tank. Thereupon orders were given to recover the full wet assessment from the year 1891-92, and to recommend the remission of the difference between the dry and wet assessment of the year 1890-91 as wet cultivation had been expressly forbidden that year. It is against this reimposition of the wet assessment that the petitioner now appeals to Government. He has paid the dry assessment from 1890-91 to 1893-94.

3. The Sale of the petitioner's lands for the difference between the wet and the dry

by Settlement has been stayed and directed

1. The entire record of the case together with the petition and papers received with the memorandum under reply as herein committed

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Deputy Commissioner

of the Mysore District


COURT FEE
TWO RUPEES


MYSORE REVENUE OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
DATE


700 R

ప్రస్తుత ఆర్థిక సంవత్సరంలో ఇప్పటి వరకు ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించాలని అనుకుంటున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు?

ఈ ప్రశ్నలకు సమాధానాలు ఇవ్వడానికి ముందు ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు?

2) ఎన్నికల సమయంలో ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు?

3) ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు?

4) ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు? ఎన్ని ఎన్నికల ప్రచార సభలు నిర్వహించడానికి ఎంత ఖర్చు అవుతుందని అంచనా వేస్తున్నారు?

45

Proceedings of the Joint [illegible]

(10)

Read:-

Petition No 700 of 1925-26 from Ramaprapa Patel of Cuttackhalli, Hassan dated, complaining of the coercive measures taken for the recovery of betterment on the lands Nos 52 and 53 although the irrigation has been removed at the instance of the Exec: Engineer and a day rate of assessment fixed.

(2) Letter No 5291 dated 26-10-95 from the Deputy Commr Mysore Dist reporting on the above.

Order No 5291-3 / P. 1104 8
9-12-95

The Kodi channel of the lands of Cuttackhalli lies between the main irrigation channel and the petitioners lands. These lands are stated to have been irrigated for some years past by means of a wooden dam placed across the Kodi channel. A mudda (bund or ridge)

L.R. 114-95-9

Survey Sketch in the Petn
Petn attd

when and why it was built

(3) If the door and frame had been in existence for a long series of years, why did the Exec: Engineer object to such existing order of things & whether he has still any objection to their restoration or to the restoration of the door alone, if this could be used without a door to support it.

(4) What was the value of the frame and door, the cost of removing them and the probable cost of restoring both or the door alone.

4. Till the whole matter is settled, the Petitioner should be relieved from paying the assessment for the lands in question. The Govt. however desire to remark that the modification noticed above of the rates imposed by the Survey dept does not seem to be supported by authority.

5. The Dy: Commissioner is requested to submit a detailed report on the

the Petitioners lands had been
classed as wet until after the
existence of the Dam referred to
and that the removal of the
Arè built across the Bridi
Channel had nothing to do
with the supply of water to
those lands. Petitioner was
then called upon to pay arrears
of assessment at the wet
rates originally fixed by the
Survey Dept but he objected
to the same, as, under the
circumstances referred to
he had not been able to raise
wet crops and stated that
he would put in the dam
again, and pay wet assessment
in future

2 Before hearing final order
the Government decided to
have full information on
the following points

(1) Whether the Dam in question
was first put in and
where it originally stood
by whom or had to be
superseded by a modern
one

(2) If the Bridi or Arè
was finally established

from the Survey Dept reports & the
Dam as reconstructed appears
to impound the lands the Ag-
Dr forwarded plans & levels showing
that what originally existed
was the Dam at Bridi the dam
2 was built subsequently without
authority. Hence this query
is unneeded.

1. The Dept's Canvas by men
says that the Dam of the Road
Report is what existed at
the Commencement in 1872-1873

declared to have been put up without the orders of Govt in the Kodi Channel to support the dam. At the Survey settlement this source of irrigation was recognised and the lands were classed and assessed as wet.

2. The tank breached in October 1889 in consequence of heavy rain. The Executive Engineer having represented that there was obstruction to the free flow of water in the Kodi Channel caused by the Petitioner's dam, and another dam built lower down for the irrigation of the lands of one Boregowda, ~~at the head of the canal that led to the breach of the tank~~ and desired the removal of the dams to ensure the future safety of the tank, the Revenue authorities caused the dams to be removed and levied assessment at the dry rates. The Supt of Revenue Survey, when asked to correct the Standard, however, pointed out that

2

49

The formula noted above
will be sent with proper
enquiry

9-12-95

Revenue Secretary

7/12

To The Dy Commr Mysore

The Chief Engineer at Mysore

The Superintt, Revenue Survey

50

1896/97.

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT.

No. 3826

From Rec 655

The Chief Engineer
of Mysore.

To The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General & Revenue departments.

Dated Bangalore 24th July 1896.

Despatched

Enclosures No. Nil

L.R.114-95-10

SUBJECT.

Forwarding Copy of No. 2456/276 dated 16th July/96 furnishing the information called for in Government Proceedings No. 5241-3 P. 1104 dated 9th December 95, regarding the removal of the Umas complained of by Ningappa Patel of Chatanahalli Hensur Taluk.

Peter
24.7.96

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—12-9-93.—2,000.

Submitted with reference.
28/7

No. 3828

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,
CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 27th July 1896

From

Colonel J. Bryen,
The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 5231-6 dated 24th ultimo I have the honor to forward herewith copy of a letter from Executive Engineer No. 276 dated 20th instant requesting the sanction called for in the margin for the purchase and supply of Cement, Bricks &c. required for the Executive Engineer's office and Quarters, Mandya for the ensuing year. The Commissioner in Council the necessity for the proposed purchase has already been explained.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
J. Bryen.
Colonel.
Chief Engineer of Mysore.

Amt

No. 2456
276

52

From,

The Executive Engineer
Bijapore Division -

To,

The Chief Engineer
at Bijapore.

Dated 16th July 1896.

Sir:

Chief Engineer's No. 6450 of 21st December last, forwarding in original for any remarks the Executive Engineer might wish to make Government Proceedings No. 5291-3 of 9th idem, calling for information on certain points in connection with the complaints of Virajappa Patel of Chatnahalli regarding forcible levy of wet assessment on his lands irrigated by the Hodi Channel of Chittanhalli tank, Bunsur Taluk. —

" —

In returning the above, has the honor to submit herewith, file of correspondence on the subject and to state that the name of the tank alluded to by the petitioner Virajappa is Chowdinahalli and not Chittenhalli, the latter being the name of the village in which the petitioner lives. —

II. — The necessity for removing the "Anas" from which the petitioner as well as another individual viz: Boregowda used to take water for irrigation has been fully explained

in

In the undersigned's No. 734 of 20th March 1890 to the Deputy Commissioner. —

III. — In reply to the points raised in the Proceedings I beg to state as follows: —

Points 1 & 2. It is not known when the "anas" had been constructed. —

Point 3. The "Anas" had obstructed the discharge of waters in the weir Channel consequently heavy flood of October 1889 had risen over the weir more than it would have otherwise, and this led to the water overtopping and breaching the bund over which Bangalore-Mangalore road runs. To prevent the recurrence of a similar damage to the tank and more especially to the high road from similar floods, the "anas" had to be removed. —

Point 4. Cost of construction of both the "anas" could not have been more than Rs. 80 and that of removal Rupees 10/—

[signed] J. H. Harve.
Executive Engineer
Hyderabad Division.

No. 734
96

54

From,
The Executive Engineer
Hyderabad Division -
To,
The Deputy Commissioner
Hyderabad District -

Dated 21st March, 1896

Sir,

In reporting that the heavy breach caused by the last flood in the Chandrakala tank No. 2 in Shivan Gudem, viz. the bund of which the Bangalore-Nagpur road runs has been filled in with sufficient and communication restored, I have the honour to bring to your notice that in the course of the accident two feet of the "Kuri" over the weir channel of the tank. I could not ascertain when and whether with the permission of Government they were sliced. They are owned by the individual named in the margin who resides from there a few miles. Two years ago the tank burst again & these dams are allowed to remain as they are in this breach last, as they obstruct very much the flood discharge from the bund of the tank. I request therefore that you may issue orders to this, immediately before the early rains of this year set in, that is during the middle of the next month or of the ensuing dry season.

2. — If it is proved that the dams, mentioned were erected with the permission

X Benjamin and Peddi Venkayya of Chintakunta -

55

Government shall not [illegible] which
A Native is at present [illegible] held from the
Land under them will have to be removed,
and any Cultivated Crops, the Owner
there will be then a Sum of £ per Crop paid
to Government, but on the same Land the
Rights of the Tenant and Native of the Land
also must be duly secured. ——

Shaw &m
Signed J. W. H. Shaw.
Resident Engineer
Agent Native Affairs.

True Copies.

[illegible]
Asst. Engineer & Agent.

Brisbane
21st July 1876.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Cl. No. 2005
Ca. No. 173
14 SEP 96
DEWAN'S OFFICE

96

1896-97

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

(13)

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 11th
Despatched
Received
} September 1896 —

Enclosures No. 7

L.R. 114-95-11

No. 526

Reporting on the complaint of Ranjappaji Patel of Chittenhalli in the Hunsur Taluk regarding the imposition of wet assessment on his lands.

Refer to para 4 of G.O. dated 4/9/96

57

No. 526
Enclosures No. 7

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore the 3rd September 1896.

(3)

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government order No. 5291-3 / D 1104 dated the 9th December 1895, (and reminder No. Rev 198 / 1104 dated the 31st July 1896) in re the complaint of Nanjayyaji, Patel of Chinnahalli in the Hunsur taluk regarding the imposition of wet assessment on his lands viz Survey Nos 52 & 53, of that village, although the dam or channel irrigating those lands had been removed by the Executive Engineer, I beg to reply as follows to the queries therein contained.

(Q. 1) The dam was put up by the petitioner in the year 1872-73 Fasli, with a turf dam on both sides to support it.

(Q. 2) Both the dam and the dam referred to in para 1 above were constructed by the party himself for irrigating his lands, 20 years ago.

(Q 3) With regard to the removal of the petitioners dam, the Executive Engineer of the Nugoor

Division

58

Revenue states that the said another dam, which had been constructed a hundred yards lower down the Kodi Channel of the Chittanahalli tank by one Bada Gowda, had formerly obstructed the discharge of water therein to such an extent that during the rains of October 1889 the water overtopped and breached the bund of that tank, and that therefore both the dams had to be removed to prevent recurrence of damage to the said tank, and more especially to the high road that runs over its bund. From this it will be seen that the Executive Engineer is not in favour of the petitioners' dam being restored.—

(2) The cost of the dam is stated by the Amildar to be about Rs. 12 and the cost of the Bé dam also about the same. The cost of their removal is said to be about a half of the above amounts.

2. The vernacular correspondence on the subject is herewith submitted for reference—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,
[illegible]
Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District

59
(14)

To

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

L.R. 114-95-12

No 3824 / P.F. 20-96 dt 10.10.96

His letter No 526 dated 11th Sept 1896 submitting information called for in Proceedings No 5291-3 / 1104 dated 9th December 1895 in regard to the Doni across the Kodihalla of the Chowdenahalli tank irrigating Patel Nanjappaji's lands Nos 52 & 53

With reference to the above, has the honor to observe that no reply is given therein to the question whether the Executive Engineer has any objection to the removal of the Doni alone if this could be used without a dam to support it; and

60 153

to request that the Director will be so good as to state whether a donie for the purpose of irrigating the lands can be put up across the Walla without a dam to support it, and if so whether the Executive Engineer has any objection to a bare donie being so used.

By order

[illegible]
for Secretary

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
Gl. No. 3715
Pet. 525 1896
DEWAN'S OFFICE

61

(15)

From

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

Dated 1st
Despatched } December 1896.
Received

Enclosures No. —

L.R. 114-95-13

No. 933

Reporting that the Divisional Engineer the Kodikonda of the Chandanahalli tank in the Hunsur taluk may be allowed to be put up, &c.

(85)

60

No. 943

Enclosures No. ———

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore 1st December 1896

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue and General Departments.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. 3624 F.F. 20.96 of the 10th October 1896 in regard to the "Doni" across the Kodiballa of the Hebbandanahalli tank irrigating Sanjappajee's lands Nos 52 and 53, I have the honor to state that the Executive Engineer of the Mysore Division, in his docket No. 1213 of the 16th November 1896, says that the "Doni" in question may be allowed to be put up provided that the weir channel of the tank is in no way obstructed even with any support for the "Doni".

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. servant

Deputy Commissioner
of the Mysore District.

———

Compd.
ndy.

Proceedings of the Court of Shimoga (16)

Read :-

(2) Court Proceedings No. 5291-3/P-1104 dated 9th December 95, forwarding orders calling for further information ~~regarding~~ L.R. 114-95-14 (1) ~~The~~ Appeal petition No. 700 of 95-96 from Manjappaji Patel of Chikkanahalli in the Honnali Taluk, complaining of the coercive measures taken for the recovery of wet assessment on his lands Nos. 52+53 although the ~~aforesaid~~ irrigation the lands had been removed at the instance of the Executive Engineer and a drip rate of assessment fixed.

(3) Letter No. 3828 dated 24th July 1996 from the Chief Engineer in Shimoga, reporting upon the necessity for removing the area and furnishing other information called for.

3. Correspondence ending with

With Letter No 733 dated 1st December 26 from the Dy Commr Ellapore Dt reporting that the dam in question may be allowed to be put up provided that the main channel of the tank is in no way obstructed even with any support for the "dam"

order thereon No 7107-9 & 5.2.97
Encs 2 V. files. " P.F. 20-96 12 97.

(1270)

The dam is admissible and not the one. The latter affects the land of Bore gowda and his land No 51 was irrigated with the Kodi water diverted by means of this one. This is reported to be dangerous to the tank and cannot therefore be permitted. It must cease and the wet classification must be changed into dry.

The dam refers to S2 and S3 which were fed/watered from Chikanhalli tank across the Kodi Halla

The construction of a

Dam

done is permissible if the width of the stream is not narrowed and the capacity of the basin is not reduced. Subject to these conditions the petitioner may be permitted to reconstruct his dam. He is fairly entitled to some compensation on that account. The Dy Commr will report what is a fair amount for that purpose & in the meanwhile and during the time this one is not restored the difference between the wet and dry assessment will be remitted and the same shown as remission in the accounts

for Secretary

To

1. The Dy Commr Ellysonedb with records.
2. The Chief Engineer Ellysone
3. The Supdt of Rev Survey in Ellysone

RJ 5/2

L. R. 114-95-15

(10) 62

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
AUG 29 1898
DIWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 26th August 1898
Despatched
Received

Enclosures No. Finance papers.

General No. 225

Recommending the change of the assessment into dry on Rs. 5 and 3 belonging to Ranjigia Achitta Kadia in the Hunsur Taluq

Rec'd 1-9-98
Rs. on 1-9-98
DF 20 of 96 containing the Proceedings to be put up.
3/9/98

Confirmed the proceedings
19/9/98

Report and submit
20/9/98

Rec'd 5th Council
Proceedings
22/9/98

Rec'd 22/9/98

L.R. 114-95-16

69

No. 225

Enclosures No. Three

OFFICE OF THE Dy Comm^r
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated 26th August 1898

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Proceedings No. [illegible] P.F. 20-96, dated 5th/12th May 1897, passing orders on the petition of Puttajirajii Chikkanaikkin the Mysore King complaining of the levy of kist assessment on his lands, I do state that although the said irrigation has been removed at the instance of the Executive Engineer I have the honor to state that the irrigation cannot be said that the petitioner has irrigate his lands from the Chikkahalli Tank by means of a Kani, placed across the southern channel on the sides of the Kaveripathi of the

Chowdanahalli Tank and that it is not possible to replace the bund for the irrigation of the lands without the support of bunds like those which have been removed as being dangerous to the tank.

2. The reconstruction of the bund will necessarily narrow the width of the Halla and lessen the capacity of the basin. The Amildar therefore recommends change of the wet classification and assessment of the petitioner's lands into dry and I feel inclined to support his recommendation.

3. The Vernacular proceedings bearing on the subject as per accompanying Jerishk are herewith submitted for reference and obliging return when no longer required.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
[illegible]
Hon. Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District.

Compared.

(20)

28 pls

Proceedings of Court of Enquiry

held:

Proceedings of Court No. 7107-9 of
C.7209
5th February 1897, respecting the
Loss of Revenue Cutter Godetia
built in the Queen's Dock Liverpool
by Mr. Thos. Lake Harrison and
Cost Rs. 2 Lacs 25 thousand the
Case originating in Letter from
Secretary to the Government of
India in the Marine Department,
No. 225-26 dated 11
Sent to the Commissioner,
Shipping Act [illegible]
Letter was referred for Court of
Enquiry to the Master Attendant
Calcutta Pilot Service, the
Chief Engineer Inland Water
Transport, Bengal Circle and
a Naval Officer to be appointed
by the Commander in Chief
Master Attendant Pilot Service
Chief Engineer Inland Water
Transport Bengal Circle and
Naval Officer Commander
Hon. Mr. Hedley, Pilot Service
Mr. Hedley, Pilot Service
Mr. Hedley, Pilot Service

L.R. 114-95-17

Meeting [illegible] Liefgiesserei f 21
[illegible] Liefgiesserei
Wilodey.

3. Verwendet Lieferung, Rechnung mit
der obere Unterschrift Journ. No. 3300/30-f.93
Firma Liefgiesserei, Lieferung ist
[illegible] Tag, Fracht- und Emballage
Konnen, die wie Rechnung [illegible]
Rate Abschlag Firma Liefgiesserei
52453 Unterschrift der Lage
Empfang Lieferung Rechnung
der Liefgiesserei zu Wilodey
Quittung Rechnung

Fr

Ges. Akten: No 2792 / 0.720-96 / 5/10/98.
Rec. Nov 6 D.C.

(35)

Die Lieferung Liefgiesserei Offerte have been
under a Rechtsverwahrung Unterschrift
Liefgiesserei Liefgiesserei
Chat was Ihr Rechnung Ihre Unterschrift
a Werk Liefgiesserei mit Wilodey. Ihr, Ihr presumed
Rechtsanwalt, an Argument for
Firma Liefgiesserei Werk mit Wilodey
of Chancellor Werk. Die Wilodey
Lieferung Unterschrift Ihr Unterschrift
and Ihr in Objekt Ihr. Ihr. Ihr
Ihre Einwendungen Ihr Lieferung, Ihr
Lieferung mit Ihr Lieferung Ihr
allen mit Ihr Ihr.

Ihr Ihr, Ihr Ihr Ihr
mit Ihr Ihr Ihr Ihr
Ihr Ihr Ihr Ihr

Ihr. Ihr Ihr

(19) 7-10-98

L.R.115-95-18